

Randomized Algo

def: algo(k) returns i $1 \leq i \leq k$ in $O(1)$

Why randomized?

- can be simpler.
- can be faster.

Models:

- deterministic algo f

worst case input x , $x \mapsto f(x)$

complexity: $\max_{|x|=n} T(x)$

def

Ω finite / countable infinite set

$$Pr: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1], \left(\sum_{w \in \Omega} Pr[w] \right) = 1$$

$$E \subseteq \Omega, Pr(E) = \sum_{w \in E} Pr[w]$$

random variable: function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

↓ has

$$\text{expectation: } E[X] = \sum_{w \in \Omega} X(w) Pr[w]$$

Model: probabilistic

deterministic algo f

$x \xleftarrow{\$} D_n \leftarrow$ distribution over size n input.

$x \mapsto f(x)$

complexity

$$T(n) = E_{x \leftarrow D} [T(x)]$$

rmk: theory is brittle.

Model: randomized algo:

f is randomized.

Worst case input x

$x \mapsto f(x)$
 \Rightarrow outputs a RV

Complexity

$$\max_{|x|=n} \mathbb{E}[T(x)]$$

$T(n)$

Analysis:

correctness: outputs correct answer always.

complexity: expected run time.

Communication

n People P_1, \dots, P_n . In each round j , each P_i tries to communicate.

def $X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & P_i \text{ attempts to communicate at round } j. \\ 0 & \end{cases}$

independent



$$\Pr[X_{ij}=a \wedge X_{ij'}=b] = \Pr[X_{ij}=a] \cdot \Pr[X_{ij'}=b]$$

\downarrow \downarrow
 $\{0,1\}$ $\{0,1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_{ij}] &= 1 \cdot \Pr[X_{ij}=1] + 0 \cdot \Pr[X_{ij}=0] \\ &= \Pr[X_{ij}=1] = p \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{E}[\text{\# people communicating}]$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i X_{ij}\right] = \sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_{ij}] = n \cdot p$$

lem $1+x \leq e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$

cor $(1 - \frac{1}{n})^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{e}, n > 1$

$\frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{n})^{n-1}} = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \leq \left(e^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right)^{n-1} \leq e$

def bernouli random variables. w/ parameter p

$X \in \{0, 1\}, \Pr[X=1] = p, \Pr[X=0] = 1-p$

X_1, \dots, X_n independent bernouli

A geometric RV w/ param p is $Y = \min\{i: X_i=1\} \in \mathbb{N}$

lev • $Y \geq 1$

$$\bullet \Pr[Y \geq i] = \Pr[\underbrace{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1}}_{\text{all fails}} = 0] = (1-p)^{i-1} \rightarrow \text{independent}$$

$$\bullet \Pr[Y = i] = \Pr[\text{---}, X_i = 1] = (1-p)^{i-1} \cdot p$$

lev Z a RV over \mathbb{N} . Following equiv

$$(a) \mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot \Pr[Z = i]$$

$$(b) \mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Pr[Z \geq i]$$

$$\text{Cor } \mathbb{E}[\text{Geom}(p)] = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\text{Geom}(p) \geq i]$$

$$= \sum (1-p)^{i-1} = 1 + (1-p) + (1-p)^2 + \dots$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$p \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \infty$$

def

$a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ distinct integers.

$$\text{rank}(a_i) = |\{j : a_j < a_i\}| + 1$$

} \Rightarrow position in sorted array.

def

given $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $1 \leq m \leq n$,

the selection problem is to output a_i s.t. $\text{rank}(a_i) = m$.

prop selection is in $O(n \log n)$ deterministic time.

- sort a in $O(n \log n)$ time

- output m^{th} element

} comparison sort takes $\Omega(n \log n)$.

fact selection can be done deterministically $O(n)$ time.

MM select. \Rightarrow poor constants.

Thm: Selection in $O(n)$ expected time.

Algo: some select (a, m)

- use some rule to pick splitter a_i

- write $a = b \circ a_i \circ c$, $b_j < a_i < c_k \Rightarrow \text{rank}(a_i) = |b| + 1$

- $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } m = |b| + 1, \text{ return } a_i \\ \text{if } m < |b| + 1, \text{ return some-select}(b, m) \\ \text{if } m > |b| + 1, \text{ return some-select}(c, m - (|b| + 1)) \end{array} \right.$

prop. any splitter, this algo is correct.

prop. Suppose pick splitter in $O(n)$, then some-select is $O(n^2)$.

$$\text{Pf: } \begin{array}{l} T(a) \leq \underbrace{O(n)}_{\text{splitter}} + \underbrace{O(n)}_{\text{split}} + \underbrace{\max \{ T(b) + T(c) \}}_{\text{recursion}} \\ \parallel \\ \max_i T(a_i) \end{array}$$

$$\leq O(n) + T(n-1)$$

$$\leq O(n^2)$$

idea: pick balanced split.

$\hookrightarrow \text{rank}(a_i) \in \left[\frac{n}{4}, \frac{3n}{4} \right] \hookrightarrow$ achieving this is a selection problem

Notice: half a_i in the range. \Rightarrow choose a_i randomly.